

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO Box 1450 Alxandra, Virginia 22313 1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/025,901 12/26/2001 Kazunobu Shimoe 36856.587 9600 7590 06/04/2003 Keating & Bennett LLP EXAMINER Suite 312 10400 Eaton Place BUDD, MARK OSBORNE Fairfax, VA 22030 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

> 2834 DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/025,901	SHIMOE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mark Budd	2834
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.
<u> </u>		
a VIII		
20)23	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	vance except for formal mat r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[ters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4) Claim(s) $\underline{1-22}$ is/are pending in the applicatio	n.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-22 is/are withdra		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,6 and 9</u> is/are rejected.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,7 and 8</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		. 5
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	pred or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) annroyed b) die	sapproved by the Event
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action	sapproved by the Examiner.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 H.S.C. &	110(a) (d) ar (f)
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	r priority dilider 66 6.6.6. g	1 19(a)-(u) or (1).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been re	privation INU
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not re	eceived.
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application)
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro- 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has bee	an received
ttachment(s)	·	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Brawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office D-326 (Rev. 04-01)	ion Summary	Part of Paper No. 0503

Art Unit: 2834

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Japan (943) or Takata.

Japan (figs. 1 & 2) and Takata (figs. 17-D and 2A-D) teach providing a SAW electrode structure, a first pad layer, and a second pad/wiring layer over the first layers.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japan (943) or Takata in view of Europe (905) or Japan (816). Japan (943) and Takata teach the manufacturing method but don't provide an adhesion layer. However, each of Japan (816) and Europe (905) teach providing such a layer to increase the peel-off strength of the electrical structures. Thus, for at least this reason it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form an adhesion layer in Japan (943) or Takata prior to form an adhesion layer in Japan (943) or Takata prior to forming electrode and pad layers.

Application/Control Number: 10/025,901

Art Unit: 2834

Page 3

Further cited of interest are Ella, Ikada (503) and Ikada (133).

budd/ds

05/19/03

MARCHARD CONTRACTION OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR